

1 RICHARD MARMARO (Bar No. 91387)

2 richard.marmaro@skadden.com

3 JACK P. DICANIO (Bar No. 138782)

4 jack.dicanio@skadden.com

5 RONDA J. MCKAIG (Bar No. 216267)

6 ronda.mckaig@skadden.com

7 SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

8 300 South Grand Avenue

9 Los Angeles, California 90071-3144

10 Tel: (213) 687-5000

11 Fax: (213) 687-5600

12

13 Attorneys for Defendant Raj P. Sabhlok

14

15

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

16

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

17

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

18

19 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
20 COMMISSION,

21

22

Plaintiff,

23

vs.

24

25 RAJ P. SABHLOK, and
26 MICHAEL PATTISON

27

Defendants.

28

) CASE NO. C08-04238 CRB

) **STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~**
) **ORDER RE: EXTENDING PAGE**
) **LIMITS AND TIME TO RESPOND FOR**
) **REPLY BRIEFS IN SUPPORT OF**
) **MOTION TO DISMISS/MOTION TO**
) **STRIKE COMPLAINT**

) Motion Hearing Date: February 6, 2009

) Time: 10:00 a.m.

) Location: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor

) Hon. Charles R. Breyer

29

30 //

31 //

32 //

33 //

34 //

35 //

36 //

37 //

38

STIPULATION

WHEREAS the Court's standing order sets forth a 15 page limit on motions, oppositions and reply briefs; and

WHEREAS Mr. Sabhlok and Mr. Pattison took pains to ensure that their motions to dismiss and to strike the Complaint were within the 15 page limit; and

WHEREAS the Securities & Exchange Commission filed oppositions to defendants' motions that exceeded the 15 page limit by 9 pages; and

WHEREAS Defendants are prejudiced by this error; and

WHEREAS, in order to respond to all of the arguments raised in the Securities & Exchange Commission's opposition, Defendants require additional pages for their reply briefs; and

WHEREAS, in order to respond to all of the arguments raised in the Securities & Exchange Commission's opposition, Defendants require additional time to respond; and

WHEREAS the Securities & Exchange Commission has represented to both Defendants that it does not oppose Defendants' requests for additional pages or time, and intends to confirm its assent to these requests in a separate request to the Court for a page limit extension;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED THAT Messrs. Sabhlok and Pattison may each file a reply brief with a maximum of 25 pages, and that their reply briefs may be filed on January 27, 2009.

Dated: January 20, 2009

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

By: /s/
Ronda McKaig
Attorney for Raj P. Sabhlok

Dated: January 20, 2009

NOSSAMAN LLP

By: /s/
James Vorhis
Attorney for Michael Pattison

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

For good cause shown, Mr. Sabhlok and Mr. Pattison may each file a reply brief with a maximum of 25 pages, and their reply briefs may be filed on January 27, 2009.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: Jan 22, 2009

Hon. Charles R. Breyer
United States District Court Judge

Respectfully submitted,

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Richard Marmaro
Jack P. DiCanio
Ronda J. McKaig

By: /s/ Ronda J. McKaig

RONDA J. MCKAIG
Attorneys for Defendant
Raj P. Sabhlok

